

CREDIT OPINION

13 June 2019



Contacts

Benjamin J VanMetre +1.312.706.9951
Analyst
ben.vanmetre@moodys.com

Tatiana Killen +1.212.553.2895
VP-Senior Analyst
tatiana.killen@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
Japan 81-3-5408-4100
EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Lakeville (City of) MN

Update to credit analysis

Summary

The [City of Lakeville](#) (Aa1) benefits from a large and rapidly growing tax base located in the Twin Cities ([Minneapolis](#); Aa1 negative; [St. Paul](#), Aa1 negative) metropolitan area, above average resident income indices and a very strong financial profile. The credit attributes are balanced by above average leverage related to long-term debt and pension burdens, both of which contribute to high fixed costs.

Credit strengths

- » Wealthy and rapidly growing tax base near the Twin Cities
- » Very strong reserves

Credit challenges

- » Above average leverage related to long-term debt and pension burdens
- » High fixed costs

Rating outlook

Outlooks are generally not assigned to local government credits with this amount of debt.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Reduced leverage and fixed cost burden

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Substantial tax base contraction or weakening of resident income indices
- » Significant narrowing of operating reserves or liquidity
- » Growth in leverage or fixed costs

Key indicators

Exhibit 1

Lakeville (City of) MN	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$5,694,638	\$6,157,266	\$6,520,717	\$6,921,610	\$7,473,143
Population	57,715	58,592	59,786	61,056	64,334
Full Value Per Capita	\$98,668	\$105,087	\$109,068	\$113,365	\$116,162
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	160.6%	158.7%	161.1%	161.0%	161.0%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$36,278	\$35,704	\$37,269	\$39,818	\$40,784
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$24,990	\$24,918	\$28,536	\$31,892	\$33,442
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$50,605	\$39,041	\$40,163	\$33,625	\$34,043
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	68.9%	69.8%	76.6%	80.1%	82.0%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	139.5%	109.3%	107.8%	84.4%	83.5%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$114,590	\$108,220	\$115,545	\$109,802	\$108,212
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	N/A	\$49,467	\$57,795	\$60,853	\$63,612
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	3.2x	3.0x	3.1x	2.8x	2.7x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	1.4x	1.6x	1.5x	1.6x

Source: US Census Bureau; audited financial statements

Profile

The City of Lakeville is located 25 miles south of downtown Minneapolis and encompasses nearly 40 square miles in [Dakota County](#) (Aaa stable). The city serves more than 60,000 residents.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: large, affluent tax base near Twin Cities

The city's large tax base is expected to remain healthy due to its economic ties to the Twin Cities metropolitan area, which supports the city's strong resident income indices and favorable demographic trends. Fully valued at \$7.5 billion, as measured by economic market value, the city's rapidly growing tax base increased by more than 40% since 2012 and well exceeds its pre-recession peak of \$6.4 billion in 2009. The growth in the city's tax base has largely been driven by the residential development needed to support the city's growing population. Between 1990 to 2010, the city's population more than doubled to nearly 56,000 residents and has shown continued growth in recent years. The city notes that approximately 30% of the city is available to accommodate future development. Management expects residential development to continue, with 350 to 400 new single family home building permits annually.

Median family income is estimated at 161% of the national median. Underscoring the health of the Twin Cities regional economy are the city's strong employment trends and low unemployment. As of April 2019, the city's unemployment rate (2.6%) was below the state's rate (3.2%) and the national rate (3.3%).

Financial operations and reserves: very strong financial profile supported by ample reserves

The city's financial profile is expected to remain very strong due to sizeable operating fund reserves and conservative budgeting practices. The city closed fiscal 2018 with a combined available fund balance of more than \$33 million, equal to 82% of operating revenue across the General Fund and Debt Service Fund. Fiscal 2019 is tracking better than budget with higher than budgeted building permit revenue driving the positive variance.

Property taxes are the city's primary revenue source, comprising about 63% of revenue and state levy limits are not currently in place for cities. The city receives limited state and federal aid and does not have significant reliance on economically sensitive revenues.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

The city's two major enterprise funds include its Liquor Fund and the Utility Fund. The Liquor Fund accounts for operations of the city's three liquor stores, while the Utility Fund accounts for water and sanitary sewer activities. Both funds ended fiscal 2018 with very healthy liquidity and strong debt service coverage. Neither enterprise has relied on General Fund support.

LIQUIDITY

The city's liquidity is strong with fiscal 2018 operating cash at \$34 million, or 84% of operating revenue. Unrestricted cash and investments in the city's liquor and utility enterprise funds exceeded \$12.6 million.

Debt and pensions: elevated leverage; high fixed costs

The city's leverage related to long-term debt and pension liabilities is elevated. Inclusive of the 2019 bonds, the city has a net debt burden equals about \$108 million, or 1.5% of full value and 2.7x fiscal 2018 operating revenue. The city's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL), which we calculate using a market-based interest rate, totaled \$64 million in fiscal 2018, equal to 0.9% of full value and 1.6x operating revenue. In comparison, the city's reported net pension liability, based on the use of higher discount rates, was \$11 million.

The city's total fixed costs, consisting of debt service and retirement contributions, are high, totaling more than 30% of operating revenue. The city's debt burden is the primary driver of the high fixed costs, most of which is supported by an unlimited tax levy.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the city's debt is fixed rate and long term with about 74% of outstanding principal retired within 10 years.

The city's GOULT bonds are secured by the city's full faith and credit pledge and the authority to levy a dedicated property tax unlimited as to rate and amount. The security benefits from a statutory lien.

The city's lease revenue debt is secured by its pledge to make annual lease payments, which are subject to annual appropriation, per the lease-purchase agreements.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The city has no debt-related derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The city participates in two multiple-employer cost-sharing plans, the General Employees Retirement Fund (GERF) and the Public Employees Police and Fire Fund (PEPFF). Minnesota statutes establish local government retirement contributions as a share of annual payroll. Employer contribution rates are currently set at 7.5% of payroll for GERF and at 16.2% of payroll for PEPFF. The city's total fiscal 2018 pension contribution was equal to about 4% of operating revenue.

Statutory contribution levels have not kept pace with growing unfunded liabilities in state-wide pension plans. Contributions to PEPFF from all participating governments in aggregate amounted to 90% of the plan's "tread water" indicator in 2018.¹ The state of Minnesota approved legislation in 2018 that will modify benefits and modestly increase contributions for some pension plans. Employer contributions from cities to the police and fire plan, for example, will modestly increase to 17.7% by 2020 from the current rate of 16.2%. Because employer contributions will not rise significantly, cities are unlikely to contend with material budget strain from the increases.

Other post-employment benefits (OPEB) obligations do not represent a material credit risk for the city. The city does not offer explicit OPEB benefits, but allows retired employees to stay on its health care plan, creating an implicit rate subsidy. The city's paygo cost in fiscal 2018 was only \$28,000 while the net OPEB liability is approximately \$1.1 million.

Management and governance: strong institutional framework

Minnesota cities have an Institutional Framework score of "Aa", which is strong. The sector has one or more major revenue sources that are not subject to any caps. Revenues tend to be predictable, as cities rely primarily on property taxes and state Local Government Aid (LGA), which is distributed based on demographic and tax base factors. Revenue-raising flexibility is moderate as cities generally benefit from unlimited levying authority, except during years in which the state has imposed limits. Levy limits are not currently in place for cities. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are relatively high. Expenditures mostly consist of personnel costs, which are highly predictable.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 2

Lakeville (City of), MN

Lakeville (City of) MN

Rating Factors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%)^[1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$7,473,143	Aa
Full Value Per Capita	\$116,162	Aa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	161.0%	Aaa
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	82.0%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	33.2%	Aaa
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	83.5%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	-19.1%	B & Below
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	Aa	Aa
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures	1.1x	Aaa
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.4%	Aa
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	2.7x	A
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	0.9%	Aaa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	1.6x	A
Notching Factors:^[2]		
Standardized Adjustments [3]: Unusually strong or weak security features: Secured by statute		Up
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	Aa1
	Assigned Rating	Aa1

Note: The negative trend in the city's liquidity reflected in the above table is driven by the timing of various cross-over refundings. Net of these refundings, and similar to the trend fund balance, the liquidity trend has been positive.

[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

[2] Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology dated December 16, 2016.

[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs Updated for 2019 publication.

Source: US Census Bureau, audited financial statements

Endnotes

- Employer contributions that tread water equal the sum of current year service cost and interest on reported net pension liabilities at the start of the year, using reported assumptions. If plan assumptions are met exactly, contributions equal to the tread water indicator will prevent the reported net pension liabilities from growing.

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1179669

Contacts

Benjamin J VanMetre
Analyst
ben.vanmetre@moodys.com

+1.312.706.9951

Tatiana Killen
VP-Senior Analyst
tatiana.killen@moodys.com

+1.212.553.2895

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454